



Rayat Shikshan Sanstha's
Karmaveer Bhaurao Patil College of Engineering, Satara
Department of Computer Science & Engineering

Identification of projects and guide allocation methodology

- Students were informed to form a group of minimum 3 students and maximum 5 students in class. Students submit their group name and team leader name along with the project domain in which they are interested to work.
- The list of previous year projects is conveyed to students through mail which ensures no repetition of project work and also encourages students to enhance the previous works.
- The students based on their area of interest and competency can select the projects proposed by the faculty or their own ideas
- The students approach the faculty and discuss their ideas.
- Students can also approach various industries for the final year projects and work on the same once it has been approved by the department level project coordinator.
- The students have to submit preferences for guides using google form shared by project coordinator
- Head of Department along with project coordinators will allocate the guides based on student preference, their domain and expertise.
- Finalized guide allocation is informed to students and asks them to submit the detailed abstract duly signed by their concerned guide.
- Later on, they are informed to submit the detailed synopsis and present it to the panel of all project guides. Guides can give suggestions/modifications, need to be incorporated if any
- Finalized project synopsis duly signed by their concerned guide is submitted to coordinators.



Rayat Shikshan Sanstha's
Karmaveer Bhaurao Patil College of Engineering, Satara

Department of Computer Science & Engineering




Figure. Guide selection and implementation process flow chart



Rayat Shikshan Sanstha's
Karmaveer Bhaurao Patil College of Engineering, Satara
Department of Computer Science & Engineering

Initiatives:

- The student's projects are selected in line with department Vision, Mission and Program outcomes.
- Students are provided with brief ideas of various fields for selecting the project ideas.
- The faculties encourage the students to carry out in house projects and provide support with all necessary software and hardware.
- Students are encouraged to participate in project exhibitions/Expo conducted national level and International Level.
- The Ideathon -Project Idea competition is held every year for students to provide a common platform to exhibit their innovative ideas and their work towards excellence in latest technology.

Types and relevance of the projects and their contribution towards attainment of POs

- The student's projects are selected in line with department mission, vision and Program outcomes and mapped to POs and PSOs
- Projects are broadly categorized/classified (Based on the factors Environment, Safety, Standards and Cost)
 - Industry sponsored projects
 - Institute sponsored projects
 - Application oriented
 - Design oriented
 - Research oriented
- Each project is evaluated with internal marks and are graded with their quality and contribution towards attainment of PO's.



Rayat Shikshan Sanstha's
Karmaveer Bhaurao Patil College of Engineering, Satara
Department of Computer Science & Engineering

Rubric for Project Assessment:

- Project evaluation is carried out in 7th as well as 8th semester where students present their work before the guide and project guides panel from the same department.
- Evaluation is carried out based on various criterion of Rubrics and general criteria such as
 - Project idea understanding and percentage of project completion
 - Presentation, demonstration and documentation skills
- Evaluation is carried out on individual basis as well as on team performance
- Evaluation result is communicated to the students by departmental coordinator for further improvement
- At the end of the academic year, students present and demonstrate their work to the expert from an industry/ Academician from other institution and the project guide



Rayat Shikshan Sanstha's
Karmaveer Bhaurao Patil College of Engineering, Satara
Department of Computer Science & Engineering

Evidences of papers published/ Awards received, participated in various competitions





Rayat Shikshan Sanstha's
Karmaveer Bhaurao Patil College of Engineering, Satara
Department of Computer Science & Engineering



**FIFTH INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON INFORMATION
AND COMMUNICATION TECHNOLOGY FOR INTELLIGENT SYSTEMS**

April 23 - 24, 2021 | Ahmedabad, India (Virtual Mode)

Certificate

This is to certify that

Chinmay Milind Garge

has contributed a paper titled

Implementing IoT for Dispensing Sanitary Napkins Using Digital Payment

participated in 5th International Conference on Information and Communication Technology for Intelligent Systems (ICTIS 2021) held during 23-24 April, 2021. The conference was held through Digital Platform ZOOM.

We wish the authors all the very best for future endeavors.

Nilanjan Dey
TPC Chair, ICTIS 2021

Bharat Patel
Conference Chair, ICTIS 2021

Mihir Chauhan
Organising Secretary, ICTIS 2021



Project Rubrics
Synopsis Presentation Evaluation Rubric

	Component	Max. Marks	Proficient (Up to 100%)	Acceptable (Up to 60%)	Needs Improvements (Up to 20%)
a	Articulate problem statements and identify objectives	20	Problem statement is clear and objectives are completely defined	Problem statement is clear and objectives are not in line with problem statement	Problem statement and objectives are not identified
b	Literature survey and detailed analysis	20	Detailed and extensive explanation of the specifications and the limitations of the existing systems	Moderate study of the existing systems; collected some basic information	Minimal explanation of the specifications and the limitations of the existing systems; incomplete information
c	Objectives and Methodology of the Proposed Work	20	All objectives of the proposed work are well defined; Steps to be followed to solve the defined problem are clearly specified	Incomplete justification to the objectives proposed; Steps are mentioned but unclear; without justification to objectives	Objectives of the proposed work are either not identified or not well defined; Incomplete and improper specification
d	Future Scope, Advantages and Disadvantages	15	Future scope of the proposed system is clearly defined; Advantages and disadvantages of the system clearly specified	Incomplete justification to the future scope proposed; Steps are mentioned but unclear; without justification to advantages and disadvantages	Future scope of the proposed work are either not identified or not well defined; Incomplete and improper specification about advantages and disadvantages
e	Presentation Visual Aids	10	Slides are error-free and logically present the main components of the process and recommendations. Material is readable and the graphics highlight and support the main ideas.	Slides are error-free and logically present the main components of the process and recommendations. Material is mostly readable and graphics reiterate the main ideas	Slides contain errors and lack a logical progression. Major aspects of the analysis or recommendations are absent. Diagrams or graphics are absent or confuse the audience.
f	Oral Presentation	10	Speakers are audible and fluent on their topic, and do not rely on notes to present or respond. Speakers respond accurately and appropriately to audience questions and comments.	Speakers are mostly audible and fluent on their topic, and require minimal referral to notes. Speakers respond to most questions accurately and appropriately	Speakers are often inaudible or hesitant, often speaking in incomplete sentences. Speakers rely heavily on notes. Speakers have difficulty responding clearly and accurately to audience questions.
g	Body Language	5	Body language, as indicated by appropriate and meaningful gestures (e.g., drawing hands inward to convey contraction, moving arms up to convey lift, etc.) eye contact with audience, and movement, demonstrates a high level of comfort and connection with the audience.	Body language, as indicated by a slight tendency to repetitive and distracting gestures (e.g., tapping a pen, wringing hands, waving arms, clenching fists, etc.) and breaking eye contact with audience, demonstrates a slight discomfort with the audience.	Body language, as indicated by frequent, repetitive and distracting gestures, little or no audience eye-contact, and /or stiff posture and movement, indicate a high degree of discomfort interacting with audience.

Project Phase-I Evaluation Rubric:-

	Component	Max. Marks	Proficient (Up to 100%)	Acceptable (Up to 60%)	Needs Improvements (Up to 20%)
a	Design Methodology	20	Appropriate design methodology with proper justification	Appropriate design methodology but not justified properly	Design methodology not defined properly
b	Planning of project work	10	Time frame properly specified and being followed	Time frame properly specified, but not being followed	Time frame not properly specified
c	Team structure	10	Appropriate distribution of project work	Appropriate distribution of project work but not followed	In-appropriate distribution of project work
d	Demonstration	20	Objectives are achieved as specified and as per time frame	Objectives are partially achieved	Objectives are not achieved
e	Presentation Visual Aids	10	Slides are error-free and logically present the main components of the process and recommendations. Material is readable and the graphics highlight and support the main ideas.	Slides are error-free and logically present the main components of the process and recommendations. Material is mostly readable and graphics reiterate the main ideas	Slides contain errors and lack a logical progression. Major aspects of the analysis or recommendations are absent. Diagrams or graphics are absent or confuse the audience.
f	Oral Presentation	10	Speakers are audible and fluent on their topic, and do not rely on notes to present or respond. Speakers respond accurately and appropriately to audience questions and comments.	Speakers are mostly audible and fluent on their topic, and require minimal referral to notes. Speakers respond to most questions accurately and appropriately	Speakers are often inaudible or hesitant, often speaking in incomplete sentences. Speakers rely heavily on notes. Speakers have difficulty responding clearly and accurately to audience questions.
g	Body Language	5	Body language, as indicated by appropriate and meaningful gestures (e.g., drawing hands inward to convey contraction, moving arms up to convey lift, etc.) eye contact with audience, and movement, demonstrates a high level of comfort and connection with the audience.	Body language, as indicated by a slight tendency to repetitive and distracting gestures (e.g., tapping a pen, wringing hands, waving arms, clenching fists, etc.) and breaking eye contact with audience, demonstrates a slight discomfort with the audience.	Body language, as indicated by frequent, repetitive and distracting gestures, little or no audience eye-contact, and /or stiff posture and movement, indicate a high degree of discomfort interacting with audience.
h	Questions handling	15	Answered all questions with proper justification	Answered 60% questions	Answered less than 20% question

Final Project Evaluation Rubric:

	Component	Max. Marks	Proficient (Up to 100%)	Acceptable (Up to 60%)	Needs Improvements (Up to 20%)
a	Fulfillment of objectives using appropriate procedures, tools & Techniques	40	All objectives are fulfilled using appropriate procedures, tools and Techniques	Objectives are fulfilled partially with the help of appropriate procedures, tools and Techniques	Objectives are not fulfilled satisfactorily
b	Working of all modules as a system	20	All modules of project are working and well integrated and system is working accurately	All modules of project are working and integrated but system is not working up to the mark	Modules of project are working partially and are not integrated properly
c	Presentation Visual Aids	10	Slides are error-free and logically present the main components of the process and recommendations. Material is readable and the graphics highlight and support the main ideas.	Slides are error-free and logically present the main components of the process and recommendations. Material is mostly readable and graphics reiterate the main ideas	Slides contain errors and lack a logical progression. Major aspects of the analysis or recommendations are absent. Diagrams or graphics are absent or confuse the audience.
d	Oral Presentation	10	Speakers are audible and fluent on their topic, and do not rely on notes to present or respond. Speakers respond accurately and appropriately to audience questions and comments.	Speakers are mostly audible and fluent on their topic, and require minimal referral to notes. Speakers respond to most questions accurately and appropriately	Speakers are often inaudible or hesitant, often speaking in incomplete sentences. Speakers rely heavily on notes. Speakers have difficulty responding clearly and accurately to audience questions.
e	Body Language	5	Body language, as indicated by appropriate and meaningful gestures (e.g., drawing hands inward to convey contraction, moving arms up to convey lift, etc.) eye contact with audience, movement, demonstrates a high level of comfort and connection with the audience.	Body language, as indicated by a slight tendency to repetitive and distracting gestures (e.g., tapping a pen, wringing hands, waving arms, clenching fists, etc.) and breaking eye contact with audience, demonstrates a slight discomfort with the audience.	Body language, as indicated by frequent, repetitive and distracting gestures, little or no audience eye-contact, and /or stiff posture and movement, indicate a high degree of discomfort interacting with audience.
f	Questions handling	15	Answered all questions with proper justification	Answered 60% questions	Answered less than 20% question

Review Presentation Evaluation Rubric

	Component	Max. Marks	Proficient (Up to 100%)	Acceptable (Up to 60%)	Needs Improvements (Up to 20%)
a	Percentage of completion of project work	10	Percentage of completion of Project implementation work is satisfactory	Percentage of completion of Project implementation work is moderate	Percentage of completion of Project implementation work is not satisfactory
b	Team work	10	Project work is being carried out in collaborative way within group members with good communication among all of them	Project work is being carried out in collaborative way within group members but need more team work	Project work is not being carried out in collaborative way
e	Presentation Visual Aids	10	Slides are error-free and logically present the main components of the process and recommendations. Material is readable and the graphics highlight and support the main ideas.	Slides are error-free and logically present the main components of the process and recommendations. Material is mostly readable and graphics reiterate the main ideas	Slides contain errors and lack a logical progression. Major aspects of the analysis or recommendations are absent. Diagrams or graphics are absent or confuse the audience.
f	Oral Presentation	10	Speakers are audible and fluent on their topic, and do not rely on notes to present or respond. Speakers respond accurately and appropriately to audience questions and comments.	Speakers are mostly audible and fluent on their topic, and require minimal referral to notes. Speakers respond to most questions accurately and appropriately	Speakers are often inaudible or hesitant, often speaking in incomplete sentences. Speakers rely heavily on notes. Speakers have difficulty responding clearly and accurately to audience questions.
g	Body Language	10	Body language, as indicated by appropriate and meaningful gestures (e.g., drawing hands inward to convey contraction, moving arms up to convey lift, etc.) eye contact with audience, and movement, demonstrates a high level of comfort and connection with the audience.	Body language, as indicated by a slight tendency to repetitive and distracting gestures (e.g., tapping a pen, wringing hands, waving arms, clenching fists, etc.) and breaking eye contact with audience, demonstrates a slight discomfort with the audience.	Body language, as indicated by frequent, repetitive and distracting gestures, little or no audience eye-contact, and /or stiff posture and movement, indicate a high degree of discomfort interacting with audience.

Project Report Evaluation Rubric

	Component	Max. Marks	Proficient (Up to 100%)	Acceptable (Up to 60%)	Needs Improvements (Up to 20%)
a	Organization of content	10	Content of report is well organized and well formatted	Content of report is good but not well organized and well formatted	Content of report is not organized and well formatted
b	Description of concepts & technical details	10	All the concepts and technical details are described very well	Concepts and technical details are mentioned but not described very well	Concepts and technical details are not mentioned
c	Use of diagrams or analyses to enhance & clarify presentation of idea	10	Diagrams and analyses are used to enhance and clarify presentation of idea	Less use of Diagrams and analyses to enhance and clarify presentation of idea	No use of Diagrams and analyses to enhance and clarify presentation of idea
d	References and citations	10	All the references are mentioned and cited wherever used	All the references are mentioned but not cited wherever used	No references and citations are mentioned
e	Conclusion	10	Project work and results are well summarized	Project work and results are not well summarized	Project work and results are not mentioned

Guide's Evaluation Rubric

	Component	Max. Marks	Proficient (Up to 100%)	Acceptable (Up to 60%)	Needs Improvements (Up to 20%)
a	Working within a Team	20	Collaborates and communicates within project group to perform any task	Exchanges some views but requires guidance to collaborate with others.	Makes little or no attempt to collaborate in a group situation
b	Technical Knowledge and Awareness related to the Project	20	Extensive knowledge related to the project	Fair knowledge related to the project	Lacks sufficient knowledge
c	Regularity	20	Reports to the guide regularly and consistent in work	Not very regular but consistent in the work	Irregular in attendance and inconsistent in work
D	Oral Presentation	20	Speakers are audible and fluent on their topic, and do not rely on notes to present or respond. Speakers respond accurately and appropriately to audience questions and comments.	Speakers are mostly audible and fluent on their topic, and require minimal referral to notes. Speakers respond to most questions accurately and appropriately	Speakers are often inaudible or hesitant, often speaking in incomplete sentences. Speakers rely heavily on notes. Speakers have difficulty responding clearly and accurately to audience questions.
e	Body Language	20	Body language, as indicated by appropriate and meaningful gestures (e.g., drawing hands inward to convey contraction, moving arms up to convey lift, etc.) eye contact with audience, movement, demonstrates a high level of comfort and connection with the audience.	Body language, as indicated by a slight tendency to repetitive and distracting gestures (e.g., tapping a pen, wringing hands, waving arms, clenching fists, etc.) and breaking eye contact with audience, demonstrates a slight discomfort with the audience.	Body language, as indicated by frequent, repetitive and distracting gestures, little or no audience eye-contact, and /or stiff posture and movement, indicate a high degree of discomfort interacting with audience.



Rayat Shikshan Sanstha's
Karmaveer Bhaurao Patil College of Engineering, Satara
Department of Computer Science & Engineering

Evidences of papers published/ Awards received, participated in various competitions





Rayat Shikshan Sanstha's
Karmaveer Bhaurao Patil College of Engineering, Satara
Department of Computer Science & Engineering



**FIFTH INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON INFORMATION
AND COMMUNICATION TECHNOLOGY FOR INTELLIGENT SYSTEMS**

April 23 - 24, 2021 | Ahmedabad, India (Virtual Mode)

Certificate

This is to certify that

Chinmay Milind Garge

has contributed a paper titled

Implementing IoT for Dispensing Sanitary Napkins Using Digital Payment

participated in 5th International Conference on Information and Communication Technology for Intelligent Systems (ICTIS 2021) held during 23-24 April, 2021. The conference was held through Digital Platform ZOOM.

We wish the authors all the very best for future endeavors.

Nilanjan Dey
TPC Chair, ICTIS 2021

Bharat Patel
Conference Chair, ICTIS 2021

Mihir Chauhan
Organising Secretary, ICTIS 2021





Karmaveer Bhaurao Patil College of Engineering, Satara
Department of Computer Science & Engineering

Project Schedule

Sr. No.	Week	Name of Activity
1	1 st week	Group formation and Domain selection
2	2 nd week	Guide allocation process
3	3 rd & 4 th week	Project topic finalization and Synopsis presentation
4	6 th week	Presentation I
5	8 th week	Presentation II
6	11 th week	Report preparation
7	13 th week	Phase I Final Exam
8	15 th week	Progress presentation
9	17 th week	Presentation III
10	19 th week	Internal Project presentation and report submission
11	21 th week	Final project presentation



Rayat Shikshan Sanstha's
Karmaveer Bhaurao Patil College of Engineering, Satara
 Department of Computer Science and Engineering
 Academic Year 2022-23

B. Tech Project List

Sr. No.	Group Name	Group Members	Project Title	Guide Name
1	Romans	Siddhant Dnyaneshwar Mane	Automatic Helmet & Number plate Detection System	Prof. Rathod M. N.
		Junaid Pathan		
		Rohit Khade		
		Ajinkya Gaikwad		
2	The collectives	Sayali yadav	Society Management System by using Android Application	Prof. Rathod M. N.
		Sneha shinde		
		Rutuja nalawade		
		Aishwarya jadhav		
3	Ideal Bit	Chavan Vaishnavi Rajendra	Secure Smart Lab Control & Management System	Dr. Modi S. J.
		Kadam Rutuja Sanjay		
		Mane Nikita Shankar		
		Jadhav Aditya Pramod		
		Doshi Ketan Mahesh		
4	Fantastic four	Yahiya shahid mujawar	Build My Money	Dr. Modi S. J.
		Harshvardhan nitin dhmal		
		Sumit rajendra ingale		
		Manav deepak thanekar		
5	Team Cosmic	Mayuri Dattatray Kadam	Ussuing Transcripts and Employer Verification Process Secured with Blockchain	Dr. Modi S. J.
		Rutuja Hemant Sabale		
		Rutuja Girish Jagtap		
		Rashmi Kishor Shingate		
6	Crusaders	Pradnya Patil	Forest Crime Report System	Prof. Ghatge D. D.
		Tanvi Sanjay Yewale		
		Nikita Vikas Sabale		
		Nikita Sharad Kadam		
7	The Four	Shraddha Deepak Kamble	Virtual Reality in Education	Prof. Ghatge D. D.
		Rutuja Sargham Jadhav		
		Rutuja Mohan Awale		
		Shraddha Chandrakant Barge		
8	Project Chroma	Sapana shinde	Luncheon E-commerce Website	Prof. Ghatge D. D.
		Vaishnavi Shinde		
		Sakshee kirdat		
		Aarti Tavate		
9	Technotuners	Akshata Satish Chavan	Secure Examination Hall from Unauthorized Electronics Devices	Prof. Dangat G. D.
		Shraddha Nandkumar Bansode		
		Vaishnavi Ganpat Dhanawade		
		Vaishnavi Vishwanath Dhanawade		

Sr. No.	Group Name	Group Members	Project Title	Guide Name
10	Dream Epic	Shreya Dhananjay Nalawade	IoT based Smart Digital Notice Board using Raspberry Pi3 B+	Prof. Dangat G. D.
		Shreya Vasant Gore		
		Amruta Sandeep Jadhav		
		Apeksha Navnath Babar		
11	Whitecaps	Sourabh Shridhar Shelar	Online Pothole Complaint System	Prof. Uchale B. S.
		Abhijit Rajendra more		
		Sushant Sunil Shinde		
		Shubham Divate		
12	Tech pirates	Anjali Shivnath Jadhav	Aptitude Quiz System	Prof. Uchale B. S.
		Shailaja Manoj Dalvi		
		Prachi Dhanaji Salunkhe		
		T Chinnachamy Thangamayan		
13	Cloud9	Karlekar Pranav Rajshekhar	RouteRich	Prof. Shaikh T. R.
		Kokare Prathamesh Vijay		
		Shaikh Maryam Javed		
		Mohite Shravani Mohan		
		Shrutika Shinde		
14	Quantum Nerds	Vaishnavi Gosavi	Virtual Trial Room	Prof. Shaikh T. R.
		Sayali Shedge		
		Shalaka Kharade		
		Sejal Pawar		
15	BlockOverflow	Apurva Bharat Kadam	Blockchain based Psychotropic Drug Tracking	Prof. Desai A. S.
		Aditi Satish Pawar		
		Tejaswini Dhairyashil Bhosale		
		Harshal Narendra Devi		
		Chetan Mohite		
16	Coding geek	Tushar Vijay Kudave	KBP Katta : Interactive Web Platform for KBP students	Prof. Desai A. S.
		Kshitij More		
		Shruti Goliwdekar		
		Swapnali Pol		
17	Imperfect Group	Shubham Ananda Sukale	Online Ration Distribution System	Prof. Sonmale M. S.
		Sooraj Vasant Sutar		
		Vishal Balaso Shende		
		Avdhut Rajaram Ranjane		
18	Big Blasters	Vaishnavi vijaysinh nalawade	Automatic Baby Swing System	Prof. Sonmale M. S.
		Nikita Ravindra shaha		
		Arti Pradip pawar		
		Madhura Mahadev Jadhav		



Rayat Shikshan Sanstha's
Karmaveer Bhaurao Patil College of Engineering, Satara
Department of Computer Science & Engineering

B. Tech Project 22-23 Marks Distribution and Conversion Scheme

Total project marks to be uploaded on DBATU portal = 50 Calculation sheet link: + Final Project Marks Calculation Sheet	
Practical Marks = 25	Continuous Assessment Marks (CA) = 25

Practical Marks (25)

Sr. No.	Evaluation	Total Marks in evaluation sheet	Converted to
1	Final project external exam mark sheet Evaluation Sheet link: + External Marks	100	25

Distribution of CA (25) Marks:

Sr. No.	Evaluation	Total Marks in evaluation sheet	Converted to
1	Project Synopsis Presentation Evaluation Evaluation Sheet link: + Synopsis Presentation	100	10
2	Project Review Presentation Evaluation Evaluation Sheet link: + Review presentation	50	5
3	Guide's Evaluation Sheet Evaluation Sheet link: + Guide's Evaluation Sheet	100	10
Total CA Marks			25



Rayat Shikshan Sanstha's
Karmaveer Bhaurao Patil College of Engineering, Satara
 Department of Computer Science and Engineering
 Academic Year 2022-23

B. Tech Project Final Marks Calculation Sheet

Sr. No.	Group Name	Group Members	Project Title	Guide Name	Practical Marks (25)		Continuous Assessment (CA) Marks (25)						
					External Marks (100)	Practical Marks Out of 25	Synopsis (100)	Synopsis Out of 10	Project Review (50)	Project Review out of 5	Guide's evaluation sheet (100)	Guide's evaluation out of 10	CA out of 25
1	Romans	Siddhant Dnyaneshwar Mane	Automatic Helmet & Number plate Detection System	Prof. Rathod M. N.	93	23	91	9	45	5	88	9	23
		Junaid Pathan			85	21	90	9	44	4	86	9	22
		Rohit Khade			80	20	89	9	41	4	83	8	21
		Ajinkya Gaikwad			75	19	88	9	40	4	78	8	21
2	The collectives	Sayali yadav	Society Management System by using Android Application	Prof. Rathod M. N.	89	22	89	9	45	5	90	9	23
		Sneha shinde			85	21	89	9	44	4	88	9	22
		Rutuja nalawade			89	22	91	9	45	5	89	9	23
		Aishwarya jadhav			89	22	91	9	44	4	89	9	22
3	Ideal Bit	Chavan Vaishnavi Rajendra	Secure Smart Lab Control & Management System	Dr. Modi S. J.	90	23	97	10	48	5	96	10	25
		Kadam Rutuja Sanjay			87	22	96	10	48	5	95	10	25
		Mane Nikita Shankar			88	22	97	10	48	5	95	10	25
		Jadhav Aditya Pramod			88	22	97	10	47	5	95	10	25
		Doshi Ketan Mahesh			87	22	97	10	47	5	95	10	25
4	Fantastic four	Yahiya shahid mujawar	Build My Money	Dr. Modi S. J.	83	21	91	9	44	4	92	9	22
		Harshvardhan nitin dhmal			81	20	92	9	45	5	91	9	23
		Sumit rajendra ingale			79	20	91	9	45	5	91	9	23
		Manav deepak thanekar			75	19	91	9	44	4	90	9	22
5	Team Cosmic	Mayuri Dattatray Kadam	Ussuing Transcripts and Employer Verification Process Secured with Blockchain	Dr. Modi S. J.	84	21	97	10	45	5	94	9	24
		Rutuja Hemant Sabale			86	22	97	10	46	5	95	10	25
		Rutuja Girish Jagtap			89	22	97	10	46	5	95	10	25
		Rashmi Kishor Shingate			87	22	97	10	47	5	95	10	25
6	Crusaders	Pradnya Patil	Forest Crime Report System	Prof. Ghatge D. D.	88	22	92	9	39	4	90	9	22
		Tanvi Sanjay Yewale			87	22	60	6	39	4	90	9	19
		Nikita Vikas Sabale			87	22	92	9	39	4	90	9	22
		Nikita Sharad Kadam			87	22	92	9	39	4	90	9	22
		Shraddha Deepak Kamble	Virtual Reality in	Prof. Ghatge	91	23	90	9	43	4	93	9	22
		Rutuja Sargham Jadhav			91	23	90	9	43	4	93	9	22



Rayat Shikshan Sanstha's
Karmaveer Bhauroao Patil College of Engineering, Satara
 Department of Computer Science and Engineering
 Academic Year 2022-23

B. Tech Project Final Marks Calculation Sheet

Sr. No.	Group Name	Group Members	Project Title	Guide Name	Practical Marks (25)		Continuous Assessment (CA) Marks (25)						
					External Marks (100)	Practical Marks Out of 25	Synopsis (100)	Synopsis Out of 10	Project Review (50)	Project Review out of 5	Guide's evaluation sheet (100)	Guide's evaluation out of 10	CA out of 25
7	The Four	Rutuja Mohan Awale	Education	D. D.	91	23	90	9	43	4	93	9	22
		Shraddha Chandrakant Barge			91	23	90	9	43	4	93	9	22
8	Project Chroma	Sapana shinde	Luncheon E-commerce Website	Prof. Ghatge D. D.	86	22	91	9	38	4	91	9	22
		Vaishnavi Shinde			86	22	91	9	38	4	90	9	22
		Sakshee kirdat			86	22	91	9	38	4	90	9	22
		Aarti Tavate			86	22	91	9	38	4	90	9	22
9	Technotuners	Akshata Satish Chavan	Secure Examination Hall from Unauthorized Electronics Devices	Prof. Dangat G. D.	90	23	98	10	46	5	95	10	25
		Shraddha Nandkumar Bansode			89	22	98	10	45	5	93	9	24
		Vaishnavi Ganpat Dhanawade			89	22	98	10	45	5	94	9	24
		Vaishnavi Vishwanath Dhanawade			89	22	98	10	45	5	95	10	25
10	Dream Epic	Shreya Dhananjay Nalawade	IoT based Smart Digital Notice Board using Raspberry Pi3 B+	Prof. Dangat G. D.	90	23	94	9	45	5	95	10	24
		Shreya Vasant Gore			90	23	94	9	45	5	94	9	23
		Amruta Sandeep Jadhav			89	22	94	9	45	5	94	9	23
		Apeksha Navnath Babar			89	22	94	9	45	5	95	10	24
11	Whitecaps	Sourabh Shridhar Shelar	Online Pothole Complaint System	Prof. Uchale B. S.	91	23	89	9	38	4	88	9	22
		Abhijit Rajendra more			80	20	88	9	36	4	85	9	22
		Sushant Sunil Shinde			70	18	87	9	36	4	85	9	22
		Shubham Divate			75	19	87	9	36	4	85	9	22
12	Tech pirates	Anjali Shivnath Jadhav	Aptitude Quiz System	Prof. Uchale B. S.	80	20	90	9	41	4	86	9	22
		Shailaja Manoj Dalvi			80	20	89	9	41	4	84	8	21
		Prachi Dhanaji Salunkhe			85	21	88	9	41	4	84	8	21
		T Chinnachamy Thangamayan			90	23	89	9	43	4	87	9	22
13	Cloud9	Karlekar Pranav Rajshekhar	RouteRich	Prof. Shaikh T. R.	94	24	94	9	47	5	91	9	23
		Kokare Prathamesh Vijay			90	23	94	9	47	5	90	9	23
		Shaikh Maryam Javed			88	22	94	9	47	5	88	9	23
		Mohite Shrivani Mohan			88	22	94	9	47	5	88	9	23
		Shrutika Shinde			88	22	94	9	47	5	88	9	23



Rayat Shikshan Sanstha's
Karmaveer Bhaurao Patil College of Engineering, Satara
 Department of Computer Science and Engineering
 Academic Year 2022-23

B. Tech Project Final Marks Calculation Sheet

Sr. No.	Group Name	Group Members	Project Title	Guide Name	Practical Marks (25)		Continuous Assessment (CA) Marks (25)						
					External Marks (100)	Practical Marks Out of 25	Synopsis (100)	Synopsis Out of 10	Project Review (50)	Project Review out of 5	Guide's evaluation sheet (100)	Guide's evaluation out of 10	CA out of 25
14	Quantum Nerds	Vaishnavi Gosavi	Virtual Trial Room	Prof. Shaikh T. R.	96	24	94	9	49	5	91	9	23
		Sayali Shedge			92	23	94	9	47	5	88	9	23
		Shalaka Kharade			88	22	94	9	47	5	88	9	23
		Sejal Pawar			90	23	94	9	49	5	90	9	23
15	BlockOverflow	Apurva Bharat Kadam	Blockchain based Psychotropic Drug Tracking	Prof. Desai A. S.	91	23	92	9	47	5	92	9	23
		Aditi Satish Pawar			91	23	92	9	47	5	92	9	23
		Tejaswini Dhairyashil Bhosale			91	23	92	9	47	5	92	9	23
		Harshal Narendra Devi			91	23	92	9	47	5	92	9	23
		Chetan Mohite			89	22	82	8	45	5	88	9	22
16	Coding geek	Tushar Vijay Kudave	KBP Katta : Interactive Web Platform for KBP students	Prof. Desai A. S.	89	22	87	9	41	4	85	9	22
		Kshitij More			89	22	87	9	41	4	85	9	22
		Shruti Goliwdekar			89	22	87	9	41	4	85	9	22
		Swapnali Pol			88	22	82	8	39	4	81	8	20
17	Imperfect Group	Shubham Ananda Sukale	Online Ration Distribution System	Prof. Sonmale M. S.	91	23	87	9	45	5	90	9	23
		Sooraj Vasant Sutar			88	22	87	9	45	5	87	9	23
		Vishal Balaso Shende			83	21	79	8	45	5	76	8	21
		Avdhut Rajaram Ranjane			84	21	79	8	45	5	78	8	21
18	Big Blasters	Vaishnavi vijaysinh nalawade	Automatic Baby Swing System	Prof. Sonmale M. S.	91	23	86	9	47	5	88	9	23
		Nikita Ravindra shaha			90	23	86	9	47	5	85	9	23
		Arti Pradip pawar			88	22	83	8	47	5	88	9	22
		Madhura Mahadev Jadhav			88	22	82	8	47	5	88	9	22



Rayat Shikshan Sanstha's

Karmaveer Bhaurao Patil College of Engineering, Satara

Department of Computer Science and Engineering

Academic Year 2022-23

B. Tech Project Synopsis Presentation Evaluation Sheet

Sr. No.	Group Name	Group Members	Project Title	Guide Name	Articulate problem statements and identify objectives (20)	Literature survey and detailed analysis (20)	Objectives and Methodology of the Proposed Work (20)	Future Scope, Advantages and Disadvantages (15)	Presentation Visual Aids (10)	Oral Presentation (10)	Body Language (5)	Total (100)	Out of 10
1	Romans	Siddhant Dnyaneshw	Automatic Helmet & Number plate Detection System	Prof. Rathod M. N.	18	18	18	14	9	9	5	91	9
		Junaid Pathan			18	18	18	14	9	8	5	90	9
		Rohit Khade			18	18	18	14	8	8	5	89	9
		Ajinkya Gaikwad			18	18	18	14	7	8	5	88	9
2	The collectives	Sayali yadav	Society Management System by using Android Application	Prof. Rathod M. N.	18	18	18	14	8	8	5	89	9
		Sneha shinde			18	18	18	14	8	8	5	89	9
		Rutuja nalawade			18	18	18	14	9	9	5	91	9
		Aishwarya jadhav			18	18	18	14	9	9	5	91	9
3	Ideal Bit	Chavan Vaishnavi Ra	Secure Smart Lab Control & Management System	Dr. Modi S. J.	19	19	19	15	10	10	5	97	10
		Kadam Rutuja Sanjay			19	19	19	15	9	10	5	96	10
		Mane Nikita Shankar			19	19	19	15	10	10	5	97	10
		Jadhav Aditya Pramod			19	19	19	15	10	10	5	97	10
		Doshi Ketan Mahesh			19	19	19	15	10	10	5	97	10
4	Fantastic four	Yahiya shahid mujaw	Build My Money	Dr. Modi S. J.	18	18	18	14	9	9	5	91	9
		Harshvardhan nitin d			18	18	18	14	10	9	5	92	9
		Sumit rajendra ingale			18	18	18	14	9	9	5	91	9
		Manav deepak thanek			18	18	18	14	9	9	5	91	9
5	Team Cosmic	Mayuri Dattatray Kad	Ussuing Transcripts and Employer Verification Process Secured with Blockchain	Dr. Modi S. J.	19	19	19	15	10	10	5	97	10
		Rutuja Hemant Sabal			19	19	19	15	10	10	5	97	10
		Rutuja Girish Jagtap			19	19	19	15	10	10	5	97	10
		Rashmi Kishor Shing			19	19	19	15	10	10	5	97	10
6	Crusaders	Pradnya Patil	Forest Crime Report System	Prof. Ghatge D. D.	18	19	18	15	9	9	4	92	9
		Tanvi Sanjay Yewale			12	12	12	9	6	6	3	60	6
		Nikita Vikas Sabale			18	19	18	15	9	9	4	92	9
		Nikita Sharad Kadam			18	19	18	15	9	9	4	92	9
		Shraddha Deepak Ka	Virtual Reality in Education	Prof. Ghatge D. D.	18	18	17	15	9	9	4	90	9
		Rutuja Sargham Jadhav			18	18	17	15	9	9	4	90	9
		Rutuja Mohan Awale			18	18	17	15	9	9	4	90	9



Rayat Shikshan Sanstha's

Karmaveer Bhaurao Patil College of Engineering, Satara

Department of Computer Science and Engineering

Academic Year 2022-23

B. Tech Project Synopsis Presentation Evaluation Sheet

Sr. No.	Group Name	Group Members	Project Title	Guide Name	Articulate problem statements and identify objectives (20)	Literature survey and detailed analysis (20)	Objectives and Methodology of the Proposed Work (20)	Future Scope, Advantages and Disadvantages (15)	Presentation Visual Aids (10)	Oral Presentation (10)	Body Language (5)	Total (100)	Out of 10
7	The Four	Shraddha Chandrakar			18	18	17	15	9	9	4	90	9
8	Project Chroma	Sapana shinde	Luncheon E-commerce Website	Prof. Ghatge D. D.	19	18	18	14	9	9	4	91	9
		Vaishnavi Shinde			19	18	18	14	9	9	4	91	9
		Sakshee kirdat			19	18	18	14	9	9	4	91	9
		Aarti Tavate			19	18	18	14	9	9	4	91	9
9	Technotuners	Akshata Satish Chavara	Secure Examination Hall from Unauthorized Electronics Devices	Prof. Dangat G. D.	19	19	20	15	10	10	5	98	10
		Shraddha Nandkumar			19	19	20	15	10	10	5	98	10
		Vaishnavi Ganpat Dh			19	19	20	15	10	10	5	98	10
		Vaishnavi Vishwanath			19	19	20	15	10	10	5	98	10
10	Dream Epic	Shreya Dhananjay Na	IoT based Smart Digital Notice Board using Raspberry Pi3 B+	Prof. Dangat G. D.	19	19	19	14	9	9	5	94	9
		Shreya Vasant Gore			19	19	19	14	9	9	5	94	9
		Amruta Sandeep Jadh			19	19	19	14	9	9	5	94	9
		Apeksha Navnath Bal			19	19	19	14	9	9	5	94	9
11	Whitecaps	Sourabh Shridhar She	Online Pothole Complaint System	Prof. Uchale B. S.	19	18	17	15	8	7	5	89	9
		Abhijit Rajendra mor			18	18	17	15	8	7	5	88	9
		Sushant Sunil Shinde			17	18	17	15	8	7	5	87	9
		Shubham Divate			17	18	17	15	8	7	5	87	9
12	Tech pirates	Anjali Shivnath Jadh	Aptitude Quiz System	Prof. Uchale B. S.	19	18	17	15	8	8	5	90	9
		Shailaja Manoj Dalvi			18	18	17	15	8	8	5	89	9
		Prachi Dhanaji Salun			17	18	17	15	8	8	5	88	9
		T Chinnachamy Than			18	18	17	15	8	8	5	89	9
13	Cloud9	Karlekar Pranav Rajs	RouteRich	Prof. Shaikh T. R.	19	18	18	15	10	9	5	94	9
		Kokare Prathamesh V			19	18	18	15	10	9	5	94	9
		Shaikh Maryam Javed			19	18	18	15	10	9	5	94	9
		Mohite Shravani Moh			19	18	18	15	10	9	5	94	9
		Shrutika Shinde			19	18	18	15	10	9	5	94	9
Quantum		Vaishnavi Gosavi	Virtual Trial Room	Prof. Shaikh T. R.	19	18	18	15	10	9	5	94	9
		Sayali Shedge			19	18	18	15	10	9	5	94	9
		Shalaka Kharade			19	18	18	15	10	9	5	94	9



Rayat Shikshan Sanstha's

Karmaveer Bhaurao Patil College of Engineering, Satara

Department of Computer Science and Engineering

Academic Year 2022-23

B. Tech Project Synopsis Presentation Evaluation Sheet

Sr. No.	Group Name	Group Members	Project Title	Guide Name	Articulate problem statements and identify objectives (20)	Literature survey and detailed analysis (20)	Objectives and Methodology of the Proposed Work (20)	Future Scope, Advantages and Disadvantages (15)	Presentation Visual Aids (10)	Oral Presentation (10)	Body Language (5)	Total (100)	Out of 10
14	Quantum Nerds	Sejal Pawar			19	18	18	15	10	9	5	94	9
15	BlockOverflow	Apurva Bharat Kadan	Blockchain based Psychotropic Drug Tracking	Prof. Desai A. S.	18	18	18	15	9	9	5	92	9
		Aditi Satish Pawar			18	18	18	15	9	9	5	92	9
		Tejaswini Dhairyashi			18	18	18	15	9	9	5	92	9
		Harshal Narendra De			18	18	18	15	9	9	5	92	9
		Chetan Mohite			16	16	16	14	8	8	4	82	8
16	Coding geek	Tushar Vijay Kudave	KBP Katta : Interactive Web Platform for KBP students	Prof. Desai A. S.	18	18	18	13	8	8	4	87	9
		Kshitij More			18	18	18	13	8	8	4	87	9
		Shruti Goliwdekar			18	18	18	13	8	8	4	87	9
		Swapnali Pol			16	16	16	14	8	8	4	82	8
17	Imperfect Group	Shubham Ananda Suk	Online Ration Distribution System	Prof. Sonmale M. S.	18	17	18	14	8	8	4	87	9
		Sooraj Vasant Sutar			18	17	18	14	8	8	4	87	9
		Vishal Balaso Shende			16	17	16	13	7	7	3	79	8
		Avdhut Rajaram Ranj			16	17	16	13	7	7	3	79	8
18	Big Blasters	Vaishnavi vijaysinh n	Automatic Baby Swing System	Prof. Sonmale M. S.	18	17	18	14	7	8	4	86	9
		Nikita Ravindra shah			18	17	18	14	7	8	4	86	9
		Arti Pradip pawar			18	17	18	13	7	7	3	83	8
		Madhura Mahadev Ja			17	17	18	13	7	7	3	82	8



Rayat Shikshan Sanstha's
Karmaveer Bhaurao Patil College of Engineering, Satara
 Department of Computer Science and Engineering
 Academic Year 2022-23

B. Tech Project Review Presentation Evaluation Sheet

Sr. No.	Group Name	Group Members	Project Title	Guide Name	Percentage of completion of project work (10)	Team work (10)	Presentation Visual Aids (10)	Oral Presentation (10)	Body Language (10)	Total (50)	Out of 5
1	Romans	Siddhant Dnyaneshwar Mane	Automatic Helmet & Number plate Detection System	Prof. Rathod M. N.	8	10	9	9	9	45	5
		Junaid Pathan			8	9	9	9	9	44	4
		Rohit Khade			8	8	9	8	8	41	4
		Ajinkya Gaikwad			8	7	8	8	9	40	4
2	The collectives	Sayali yadav	Society Management System by using Android Application	Prof. Rathod M. N.	8	10	9	9	9	45	5
		Sneha shinde			8	9	9	9	9	44	4
		Rutuja nalawade			8	10	9	9	9	45	5
		Aishwarya jadhav			8	9	9	9	9	44	4
3	Ideal Bit	Chavan Vaishnavi Rajendra	Secure Smart Lab Control & Management System	Dr. Modi S. J.	10	10	9	9	10	48	5
		Kadam Rutuja Sanjay			10	10	9	9	10	48	5
		Mane Nikita Shankar			10	10	9	9	10	48	5
		Jadhav Aditya Pramod			10	9	9	9	10	47	5
		Doshi Ketan Mahesh			10	9	9	9	10	47	5
4	Fantastic four	Yahiya shahid mujawar	Build My Money	Dr. Modi S. J.	9	9	9	9	8	44	4
		Harshvardhan nitin dhmal			9	9	9	9	9	45	5
		Sumit rajendra ingale			9	9	9	9	9	45	5
		Manav deepak thanekar			9	9	9	9	8	44	4
5	Team Cosmic	Mayuri Dattatray Kadam	Ussuing Transcripts and Employer Verification Process Secured with Blockchain	Dr. Modi S. J.	9	9	9	9	9	45	5
		Rutuja Hemant Sabale			9	9	9	9	10	46	5
		Rutuja Girish Jagtap			9	9	9	9	10	46	5
		Rashmi Kishor Shingate			10	9	9	9	10	47	5
6	Crusaders	Pradnya Patil	Forest Crime Report System	Prof. Ghatge D. D.	7	8	8	7	9	39	4
		Tanvi Sanjay Yewale			7	8	8	7	9	39	4
		Nikita Vikas Sabale			7	8	8	7	9	39	4
		Nikita Sharad Kadam			7	8	8	7	9	39	4
7	The Four	Shraddha Deepak Kamble	Virtual Reality in Education	Prof. Ghatge D. D.	9	9	8	8	9	43	4
		Rutuja Sargham Jadhav			9	9	8	8	9	43	4
		Rutuja Mohan Awale			9	9	8	8	9	43	4
		Shraddha Chandrakant Barge			9	9	8	8	9	43	4
		Sapana shinde			7	8	8	6	9	38	4



Rayat Shikshan Sanstha's
Karmaveer Bhaurao Patil College of Engineering, Satara
 Department of Computer Science and Engineering
 Academic Year 2022-23

B. Tech Project Review Presentation Evaluation Sheet

Sr. No.	Group Name	Group Members	Project Title	Guide Name	Percentage of completion of project work (10)	Team work (10)	Presentation Visual Aids (10)	Oral Presentation (10)	Body Language (10)	Total (50)	Out of 5
8	Project Chroma	Vaishnavi Shinde	Luncheon E-commerce Website	Prof. Ghatge D. D.	7	8	8	6	9	38	4
		Sakshee kirdat			7	8	8	6	9	38	4
		Aarti Tavate			7	8	8	6	9	38	4
9	Technotuners	Akshata Satish Chavan	Secure Examination Hall from Unauthorized Electronics Devices	Prof. Dangat G. D.	10	9	9	9	9	46	5
		Shraddha Nandkumar Bansode			10	9	8	9	9	45	5
		Vaishnavi Ganpat Dhanawade			10	9	9	8	9	45	5
		Vaishnavi Vishwanath Dhanaw			10	9	8	9	9	45	5
10	Dream Epic	Shreya Dhananjay Nalawade	IoT based Smart Digital Notice Board using Raspberry Pi3 B+	Prof. Dangat G. D.	10	9	9	8	9	45	5
		Shreya Vasant Gore			10	9	8	9	9	45	5
		Amruta Sandeep Jadhav			10	9	9	8	9	45	5
		Apeksha Navnath Babar			10	9	8	9	9	45	5
11	Whitecaps	Sourabh Shridhar Shelar	Online Pothole Complaint System	Prof. Uchale B. S.	7	8	8	6	9	38	4
		Abhijit Rajendra more			6	7	8	6	9	36	4
		Sushant Sunil Shinde			6	7	8	6	9	36	4
		Shubham Divate			6	7	8	6	9	36	4
12	Tech pirates	Anjali Shivnath Jadhav	Aptitude Quiz System	Prof. Uchale B. S.	8	9	8	7	9	41	4
		Shailaja Manoj Dalvi			8	9	8	7	9	41	4
		Prachi Dhanaji Salunkhe			8	9	8	7	9	41	4
		T Chinnachamy Thangamayan			9	9	8	8	9	43	4
13	Cloud9	Karlekar Pranav Rajshekhar	RouteRich	Prof. Shaikh T. R.	10	9	8	10	10	47	5
		Kokare Prathamesh Vijay			10	9	8	10	10	47	5
		Shaikh Maryam Javed			10	9	8	10	10	47	5
		Mohite Shravani Mohan			10	9	8	10	10	47	5
		Shrutika Shinde			10	9	8	10	10	47	5
14	Quantum Nerds	Vaishnavi Gosavi	Virtual Trial Room	Prof. Shaikh T. R.	10	9	10	10	10	49	5
		Sayali Shedge			9	9	10	9	10	47	5
		Shalaka Kharade			9	9	10	9	10	47	5
		Sejal Pawar			10	9	10	10	10	49	5
		Apurva Bharat Kadam			10	10	9	9	9	47	5



Rayat Shikshan Sanstha's

Karmaveer Bhaurao Patil College of Engineering, Satara

Department of Computer Science and Engineering

Academic Year 2022-23

B. Tech Project Review Presentation Evaluation Sheet

Sr. No.	Group Name	Group Members	Project Title	Guide Name	Percentage of completion of project work (10)	Team work (10)	Presentation Visual Aids (10)	Oral Presentation (10)	Body Language (10)	Total (50)	Out of 5
15	BlockOverflow	Aditi Satish Pawar	Blockchain based Psychotropic Drug Tracking	Prof. Desai A. S.	10	10	9	9	9	47	5
		Tejaswini Dhairyashil Bhosale			10	10	9	9	9	47	5
		Harshal Narendra Devi			10	10	9	9	9	47	5
		Chetan Mohite			10	10	9	8	8	45	5
16	Coding geek	Tushar Vijay Kudave	KBP Katta : Interactive Web Platform for KBP students	Prof. Desai A. S.	7	8	8	9	9	41	4
		Kshitij More			7	8	8	9	9	41	4
		Shruti Goliwdekar			7	8	8	9	9	41	4
		Swapnali Pol			7	8	8	8	8	39	4
17	Imperfect Group	Shubham Ananda Sukale	Online Ration Distribution System	Prof. Sonmale M. S.	9	9	10	9	8	45	5
		Sooraj Vasant Sutar			9	9	10	9	8	45	5
		Vishal Balaso Shende			9	9	10	9	8	45	5
		Avdhut Rajaram Ranjane			9	9	10	9	8	45	5
18	Big Blasters	Vaishnavi vijaysinh nalawade	Automatic Baby Swing System	Prof. Sonmale M. S.	10	9	10	8	10	47	5
		Nikita Ravindra shaha			10	9	10	8	10	47	5
		Arti Pradip pawar			10	9	10	8	10	47	5
		Madhura Mahadev Jadhav			10	9	10	8	10	47	5



Rayat Shikshan Sanstha's
Karmaveer Bhaurao Patil College of Engineering, Satara
 Department of Computer Science and Engineering
 Academic Year 2022-23

B. Tech Project Evaluation Sheet by Guide

Sr. No.	Group Name	Group Members	Project Title	Guide Name	Working within a Team (20)	Technical Knowledge and Awareness related to the Project (20)	Regularity (20)	Oral Presentation (20)	Body Language (20)	Total (100)	Out of 10
1	Romans	Siddhant Dnyaneshwar Mane	Automatic Helmet & Number plate Detection System	Prof. Rathod M. N.	19	19	16	17	17	88	9
		Junaid Pathan			18	18	16	17	17	86	9
		Rohit Khade			17	16	16	17	17	83	8
		Ajinkya Gaikwad			16	15	15	16	16	78	8
2	The collectives	Sayali yadav	Society Management System by using Android Application	Prof. Rathod M. N.	19	19	17	18	17	90	9
		Sneha shinde			19	19	17	17	16	88	9
		Rutuja nalawade			19	19	17	17	17	89	9
		Aishwarya jadhav			19	19	17	17	17	89	9
3	Ideal Bit	Chavan Vaishnavi Rajendra	Secure Smart Lab Control & Management System	Dr. Modi S. J.	19	19	20	19	19	96	10
		Kadam Rutuja Sanjay			19	19	19	19	19	95	10
		Mane Nikita Shankar			19	19	19	19	19	95	10
		Jadhav Aditya Pramod			19	19	19	19	19	95	10
		Doshi Ketan Mahesh			19	19	19	19	19	95	10
4	Fantastic four	Yahiya shahid mujawar	Build My Money	Dr. Modi S. J.	19	19	18	18	18	92	9
		Harshvardhan nitin dhumal			19	19	18	18	17	91	9
		Sumit rajendra ingale			19	19	18	18	17	91	9
		Manav deepak thanekar			19	19	17	18	17	90	9
5	Team Cosmic	Mayuri Dattatray Kadam	Ussuing Transcripts and Employer Verification Process Secured with Blockchain	Dr. Modi S. J.	19	18	19	19	19	94	9
		Rutuja Hemant Sabale			19	19	19	19	19	95	10
		Rutuja Girish Jagtap			19	19	19	19	19	95	10
		Rashmi Kishor Shingate			19	19	19	19	19	95	10
6	Crusaders	Pradnya Patil	Forest Crime Report System	Prof. Ghatge D. D.	19	18	17	18	18	90	9
		Tanvi Sanjay Yewale			19	18	17	18	18	90	9
		Nikita Vikas Sabale			19	17	18	18	18	90	9
		Nikita Sharad Kadam			19	17	18	18	18	90	9
7	The Four	Shraddha Deepak Kamble	Virtual Reality in Education	Prof. Ghatge D. D.	19	19	19	18	18	93	9
		Rutuja Sargham Jadhav			19	19	19	18	18	93	9
		Rutuja Mohan Awale			19	19	19	18	18	93	9
		Shraddha Chandrakant Barge			19	19	19	18	18	93	9



Rayat Shikshan Sanstha's
Karmaveer Bhaurao Patil College of Engineering, Satara
 Department of Computer Science and Engineering
 Academic Year 2022-23

B. Tech Project Evaluation Sheet by Guide

Sr. No.	Group Name	Group Members	Project Title	Guide Name	Working within a Team (20)	Technical Knowledge and Awareness related to the Project (20)	Regularity (20)	Oral Presentation (20)	Body Language (20)	Total (100)	Out of 10
8	Project Chroma	Sapana shinde	Luncheon E-commerce Website	Prof. Ghatge D. D.	18	18	18	19	18	91	9
		Vaishnavi Shinde			18	18	18	18	18	90	9
		Sakshee kirdat			18	18	18	18	18	90	9
		Aarti Tavate			18	18	18	18	18	90	9
9	Technotuners	Akshata Satish Chavan	Secure Examination Hall from Unauthorized Electronics Devices	Prof. Dangat G. D.	19	19	19	19	19	95	10
		Shraddha Nandkumar Bansode			19	18	19	18	19	93	9
		Vaishnavi Ganpat Dhanawade			19	18	19	19	19	94	9
		Vaishnavi Vishwanath Dhanawade			19	19	19	19	19	95	10
10	Dream Epic	Shreya Dhananjay Nalawade	IoT based Smart Digital Notice Board using Raspberry Pi3 B+	Prof. Dangat G. D.	19	19	19	19	19	95	10
		Shreya Vasant Gore			19	19	19	18	19	94	9
		Amruta Sandeep Jadhav			19	19	19	18	19	94	9
		Apeksha Navnath Babar			19	19	19	19	19	95	10
11	Whitecaps	Sourabh Shridhar Shelar	Online Pothole Complaint System	Prof. Uchale B. S.	18	18	16	18	18	88	9
		Abhijit Rajendra more			17	17	16	17	18	85	9
		Sushant Sunil Shinde			17	17	16	17	18	85	9
		Shubham Divate			17	17	16	17	18	85	9
12	Tech pirates	Anjali Shivnath Jadhav	Aptitude Quiz System	Prof. Uchale B. S.	18	18	15	17	18	86	9
		Shailaja Manoj Dalvi			17	17	15	17	18	84	8
		Prachi Dhanaji Salunkhe			17	17	15	17	18	84	8
		T Chinnachamy Thangamayan			18	18	15	18	18	87	9
13	Cloud9	Karlekar Pranav Rajshekhar	RouteRich	Prof. Shaikh T. R.	18	19	18	18	18	91	9
		Kokare Prathamesh Vijay			18	18	18	18	18	90	9
		Shaikh Maryam Javed			17	17	18	18	18	88	9
		Mohite Shravani Mohan			17	17	18	18	18	88	9
		Shrutika Shinde			17	17	18	18	18	88	9
14	Quantum Nerds	Vaishnavi Gosavi	Virtual Trial Room	Prof. Shaikh T. R.	18	19	18	18	18	91	9
		Sayali Shedge			17	17	18	18	18	88	9
		Shalaka Kharade			17	17	18	18	18	88	9
		Sejal Pawar			18	18	18	18	18	90	9



Rayat Shikshan Sanstha's

Karmaveer Bhaurao Patil College of Engineering, Satara

Department of Computer Science and Engineering

Academic Year 2022-23

B. Tech Project Evaluation Sheet by Guide

Sr. No.	Group Name	Group Members	Project Title	Guide Name	Working within a Team (20)	Technical Knowledge and Awareness related to the Project (20)	Regularity (20)	Oral Presentation (20)	Body Language (20)	Total (100)	Out of 10
15	BlockOverflow	Apurva Bharat Kadam	Blockchain based Psychotropic Drug Tracking	Prof. Desai A. S.	18	19	19	18	18	92	9
		Aditi Satish Pawar			18	19	19	18	18	92	9
		Tejaswini Dhairyashil Bhosale			18	19	19	18	18	92	9
		Harshal Narendra Devi			18	19	19	18	18	92	9
		Chetan Mohite			17	19	18	17	17	88	9
16	Coding geek	Tushar Vijay Kudave	KBP Katta : Interactive Web Platform for KBP students	Prof. Desai A. S.	17	18	16	17	17	85	9
		Kshitij More			17	18	16	17	17	85	9
		Shruti Goliwdekar			17	18	16	17	17	85	9
		Swapnali Pol			16	17	16	16	16	81	8
17	Imperfect Group	Shubham Ananda Sukale	Online Ration Distribution System	Prof. Sonmale M. S.	18	18	18	18	18	90	9
		Sooraj Vasant Sutar			17	18	17	17	18	87	9
		Vishal Balaso Shende			15	15	16	15	15	76	8
		Avdhut Rajaram Ranjane			16	16	15	16	15	78	8
18	Big Blasters	Vaishnavi vijaysinh nalawade	Automatic Baby Swing System	Prof. Sonmale M. S.	17	17	18	18	18	88	9
		Nikita Ravindra shaha			18	18	15	17	17	85	9
		Arti Pradip pawar			18	18	18	17	17	88	9
		Madhura Mahadev Jadhav			17	17	18	18	18	88	9



Rayat Shikshan Sanstha's
Karmaveer Bhaurao Patil College of Engineering, Satara
 Department of Computer Science and Engineering
 Academic Year 2022-23

B. Tech Project Evaluation Sheet by External Examiner

Sr. No.	Group Name	Group Members	Project Title	Guide Name	Design Methodology (20)	Planning of project work (10)	Team structure (10)	Project Demo. (20)	Presentati on Visual Aids (10)	Oral Presentation (10)	Body Language (5)	Questions handling (15)	Total (100)	Practical Marks Out of 25
1	Romans	Siddhant Dnyaneshwar Mane	Automatic Helmet & Number plate Detection System	Prof. Rathod M. N.	20	10	10	20	10	10	5	8	93	23
		Junaid Pathan			20	10	10	20	10	8	5	2	85	21
		Rohit Khade			20	10	10	20	10	3	5	2	80	20
		Ajinkya Gaikwad			20	10	10	15	10	3	5	2	75	19
2	The collectives	Sayali yadav	Society Management System by using Android Application	Prof. Rathod M. N.	20	10	10	20	10	8	5	6	89	22
		Sneha shinde			20	10	10	20	10	8	5	2	85	21
		Rutuja nalawade			20	10	10	20	10	8	5	6	89	22
		Aishwarya jadhav			20	10	10	20	10	8	5	6	89	22
3	Ideal Bit	Chavan Vaishnavi Rajendra	Secure Smart Lab Control & Management System	Dr. Modi S. J.	18	8	9	18	9	8	4	16	90	23
		Kadam Rutuja Sanjay			17	8	9	18	7	8	4	16	87	22
		Mane Nikita Shankar			17	8	9	18	8	8	4	16	88	22
		Jadhav Aditya Pramod			17	8	9	18	8	8	4	16	88	22
		Doshi Ketan Mahesh			18	7	9	17	8	8	4	16	87	22
4	Fantastic four	Yahiya shahid mujawar	Build My Money	Dr. Modi S. J.	16	8	7	17	9	8	4	14	83	21
		Harshvardhan nitin dhmal			15	7	8	16	9	8	4	14	81	20
		Sumit rajendra ingale			14	7	8	16	8	8	4	14	79	20
		Manav deepak thanekar			13	7	8	15	8	7	4	13	75	19
5	Team Cosmic	Mayuri Dattatray Kadam	Ussuing Transcripts and Employer Verification Process Secured with Blockchain	Dr. Modi S. J.	18	8	9	15	7	8	4	15	84	21
		Rutuja Hemant Sabale			18	8	9	16	8	8	4	15	86	22
		Rutuja Girish Jagtap			18	8	9	18	8	8	5	15	89	22
		Rashmi Kishor Shingate			18	8	9	16	8	9	4	15	87	22
6	Crusaders	Pradnya Patil	Forest Crime Report System	Prof. Ghatge D. D.	18	8	9	17	9	8	4	15	88	22
		Tanvi Sanjay Yewale			18	8	9	16	8	9	4	15	87	22
		Nikita Vikas Sabale			18	8	9	16	8	9	4	15	87	22
		Nikita Sharad Kadam			18	8	9	16	8	9	4	15	87	22
7	The Four	Shraddha Deepak Kamble	Virtual Reality in Education	Prof. Ghatge D. D.	18	8	9	19	9	8	4	16	91	23
		Rutuja Sargham Jadhav			18	8	9	19	9	8	4	16	91	23
		Rutuja Mohan Awale			18	8	9	19	9	8	4	16	91	23
		Shraddha Chandrakant Barge			18	8	9	19	9	8	4	16	91	23
8	Project Chroma	Sapana shinde	Luncheon E-commerce Website	Prof. Ghatge D. D.	18	8	9	16	8	8	4	15	86	22
		Vaishnavi Shinde			18	8	9	16	8	8	4	15	86	22
		Sakshee kirdat			18	8	9	16	8	8	4	15	86	22
		Aarti Tavate			18	8	9	16	8	8	4	15	86	22
9	Technotuners	Akshata Satish Chavan	Secure Examination Hall from Unauthorized Electronics Devices	Prof. Dangat G. D.	20	10	10	20	10	8	5	7	90	23
		Shraddha Nandkumar Bansode			20	10	10	20	10	8	5	6	89	22
		Vaishnavi Ganpat Dhanawade			20	10	10	20	10	8	5	6	89	22
		Vaishnavi Vishwanath Dhanawade			20	10	10	20	10	8	5	6	89	22
10	Dream Epic	Shreya Dhananjay Nalawade	IoT based Smart Digital Notice Board using Raspberry Pi3 B+	Prof. Dangat G. D.	20	10	10	20	10	8	5	7	90	23
		Shreya Vasant Gore			20	10	10	20	10	8	5	7	90	23
		Amruta Sandeep Jadhav			20	10	10	20	10	8	5	6	89	22
		Apeksha Navnath Babar			20	10	10	20	10	8	5	6	89	22
11	Whitecaps	Sourabh Shridhar Shelar	Online Pothole Complaint System	Prof. Uchale B. S.	20	10	10	20	10	10	5	6	91	23
		Abhijit Rajendra more			20	10	10	15	10	8	5	2	80	20
		Sushant Sunil Shinde			20	10	5	15	10	3	5	2	70	18
		Shubham Divate			20	10	10	15	10	3	5	2	75	19
12	Tech pirates	Anjali Shivnath Jadhav	Aptitude Quiz System	Prof. Uchale B. S.	20	10	10	15	10	8	5	2	80	20
		Shailaja Manoj Dalvi			20	10	10	15	10	8	5	2	80	20
		Prachi Dhanaji Salunkhe			20	10	10	20	10	8	5	2	85	21
		T Chinnachamy Thangamayan			20	10	10	20	10	8	5	7	90	23
13	Cloud9	Karlekar Pranav Rajshekhhar	RouteRich	Prof. Shaikh T. R.	20	10	10	20	10	10	5	9	94	24
		Kokare Prathamesh Vijay			20	10	10	20	10	8	5	7	90	23
		Shaikh Maryam Javed			20	10	10	20	10	8	5	5	88	22
		Mohite Shravani Mohan			20	10	10	20	10	8	5	5	88	22
		Shrutika Shinde			20	10	10	20	10	8	5	5	88	22
14	Quantum Nerds	Vaishnavi Gosavi	Virtual Trial Room	Prof. Shaikh T. R.	20	10	10	20	10	10	5	11	96	24
		Sayali Shedge			20	10	10	20	10	10	5	7	92	23
		Shalaka Kharade			20	10	10	20	10	10	5	3	88	22
		Sejal Pawar			20	10	10	20	10	10	5	5	90	23
15	BlockOverflow	Apurva Bharat Kadam	Blockchain based Psychotropic Drug Tracking	Prof. Desai A. S.	19	9	10	19	10	9	5	10	91	23
		Aditi Satish Pawar			19	9	10	19	10	9	5	10	91	23
		Tejaswini Dhairiyashil Bhosale			19	9	10	19	10	9	5	10	91	23
		Harshal Narendra Devi			19	9	10	19	10	9	5	10	91	23
		Chetan Mohite			18	9	9	19	10	9	5	10	89	22
16	Coding geek	Tushar Vijay Kudave	KBP Katta : Interactive Web Platform for KBP students	Prof. Desai A. S.	18	9	9	16	9	8	8	12	89	22
		Kshitij More			18	9	9	16	9	8	8	12	89	22
		Shruti Goliwdekar			18	9	9	16	9	8	8	12	89	22
		Swapnali Pol			18	9	9	16	8	8	8	12	88	22
17	Imperfect Group	Shubham Ananda Sukale	Online Ration Distribution System	Prof. Sonmale M. S.	19	9	9	17	8	9	8	12	91	23
		Sooraj Vasant Sutar			18	9	9	16	8	8	8	12	88	22
		Vishal Balaso Shende			16	8	8	16	8	8	8	11	83	21
		Avduth Rajaram Ranjane			16	8	8	17	8	8	8	11	84	21
		Vaishnavi vijaysinh nalawade			19	9	8	18	8	9	8	12	91	23



Rayat Shikshan Sanstha's

Karmaveer Bhaurao Patil College of Engineering, Satara

Department of Computer Science and Engineering

Academic Year 2022-23

B. Tech Project Evaluation Sheet by External Examiner

Sr. No.	Group Name	Group Members	Project Title	Guide Name	Design Methodology (20)	Planning of project work (10)	Team structure (10)	Project Demo. (20)	Presentati on Visual Aids (10)	Oral Presentation (10)	Body Language (5)	Questions handling (15)	Total (100)	Practical Marks Out of 25
18	Big Blasters	Nikita Ravindra shaha	Automatic Baby Swing System	Prof. Sonmale M. S.	18	9	8	18	8	9	8	12	90	23
		18			8	8	18	8	8	8	12	88	22	
		18			8	8	18	8	8	8	12	88	22	